

ZIBELINE INTERNATIONAL  
PUBLISHINGISSN: 2521-0831 (Print)  
ISSN: 2521-084X (Online)  
CODEN: MSMADH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

## ON COMMUTATIVITY OF PRIME NEAR RINGS

Abdul Rauf Khan<sup>1\*</sup>, Khadija Mumtaz<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Mohsin Waqas<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology, Rahim Yar Khan, 64200, Punjab, Pakistan.<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology, Rahim Yar Khan, 64200, Punjab, Pakistan.

\*Corresponding Author Email: khankts@gmail.com

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



## ARTICLE DETAILS

## Article History:

Received 06 April 2021

Accepted 10 May 2021

Available online 09 June 2021

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove commutativity of prime near rings by using the notion of  $\beta$ -derivations. Let  $M$  be a zero symmetric prime near ring. If there exist  $p \geq 0, q \geq 0$  and a nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation  $d$  on  $M$ , where  $\beta: M \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism, such that  $d$  satisfy one of the following conditions:

(i)  $[\beta(s), d(t)] = s^p(\beta(s)o\beta(t))s^q \forall s, t \in M$

(ii)  $[\beta(s), d(t)] = -s^p(\beta(s)o\beta(t))s^q \forall s, t \in M$

(iii)  $[d(s), \beta(t)] = t^p(\beta(s)o\beta(t))t^q \forall s, t \in M$

(iv)  $[d(s), \beta(t)] = -t^p(\beta(s)o\beta(t))t^q \forall s, t \in M$

Then  $M$  is a commutative ring.

## KEYWORDS

Two sided  $\beta$ -Derivation, Prime Near Rings.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1905, Dikson initiated the idea of near-rings. Later, in 1987, Bell and Gordon Mason did their work on derivation and prove some commutativity theorem for near-rings [Howard and Gordon, 1987]. After that, Bell introduced derivations and generalized derivations in near-rings. Moreover, Wang work on derivations on prime near-ring [Xue, 1994]. Yilun Shang did his work on derivation in prime near-rings [Yilun, 2011]. He shows two results for  $M$  to be a commutative ring. Later on, Ahmed and Khalid construct new examples of near-ring which are not ring and discuss theorems on commutativity by using derivation  $d$  for non-necessarily 3-prime near-ring [Ahmed and Khalid, 2012; Ahmed and Khalid, 2014].

A non-empty set  $M$  with two binary operations namely addition and multiplication is said to be a right near ring if  $M$  under addition is a group,  $M$  under multiplication is a semi group and  $(M, +, \cdot)$  satisfies right distributive law.

Let  $M_o = \{s \in M : 0s = 0\}$  and  $M_c = \{s \in M : 0s = s\}$ , where  $M_o$  is known as zero symmetric near ring and  $M_c$  is known as constant near ring. If  $M_o$  and  $M_c$  are near rings itself. If  $2s = 0$  this implies that  $s = 0$  for all  $s \in M$ , then  $M$  is called 2-torsion free. Let  $M$  be a near ring whose centre  $Z(M)$  is defined as:

$Z(N) = \{c \in M : cm = mc \text{ for all } m \in M\}$ . For any  $s, t \in M$ ,  $sot = st + ts$  and  $[s, t]$

$= st - ts$  is known as Jordan product and Lie product, respectively. A homomorphism near ring is called derivation on  $M$  if  $d(st) = d(s)t + sd(t)$  for all  $s, t \in M$  or equivalently  $d(st) = sd(t) + d(s)t$  for all  $s, t \in M$ . A mapping  $d: M \rightarrow M$  is known as two sided  $\beta$ -derivation if there is a function  $\beta: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $d(st) = d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t)$  and  $d(st) = \beta(s)d(t) + d(s)\beta(t)$ , for all  $s, t \in M$

Remark 1.1. Some identities are defined as below:

(a)  $[s, tu] = t[s, u] + [s, t]u$

(b)  $[st, u] = s[t, u] + [s, u]t$

(c)  $so(tu) = (sot)u - t[s, u] = t(sou) + [s, t]u$

(d)  $(st)ou = s(tou) - [s, u]t = (sou)t + s[t, u]$

## 2. RESULTS

In this section, we prove some results by using the idea of two sided  $\beta$ -derivations on prime near rings.

Lemma 2.1. Let  $M$  be a near ring and  $d$  be a two sided  $\beta$ -derivation on  $M$ , where  $\beta: M \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism, then for all  $s, t, u \in M$  satisfies:

(i)  $\beta(u)(\beta(s)d(t) + d(s)\beta(t)) = \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) + \beta(u)d(s)\beta(t)$

(ii)  $\beta(u)(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t)) = \beta(u)d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)$

## Quick Response Code



## Access this article online

## Website:

www.matrixscience.com

## DOI:

10.26480/msmk.01.2021.06.15

*Proof.* (i) Consider  $d(u(st)) = \beta(u)d(st) + d(u)\beta(st)$

this gives

$$(2.1) \quad d(u(st)) = \beta(u)(\beta(s)d(t) + d(s)\beta(t)) + d(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)$$

consider again  $d((us)t) = \beta(us)d(t) + d(us)\beta(t)$

this implies

$$d((us)t) = \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) + (\beta(u)d(s) + d(u)\beta(s))\beta(t)$$

from this we get

$$(2.2) \quad d((us)t) = \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) + \beta(u)d(s)\beta(t) + d(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)$$

Comparing (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\beta(u)(\beta(s)d(t) + d(s)\beta(t)) = \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) + \beta(u)d(s)\beta(t)$$

(ii) Consider  $d(u(st)) = d(u)\beta(st) + \beta(u)d(st)$

this gives

$$(2.3) \quad d(u(st)) = d(u)\beta(s)\beta(t) + \beta(u)(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t))$$

consider again  $d((us)t) = d(us)\beta(t) + \beta(us)d(t)$

this implies

$$d((us)t) = (d(u)\beta(s) + \beta(u)d(s))\beta(t) + \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)$$

from this we get

$$(2.4) \quad d((us)t) = d(u)\beta(s)\beta(t) + \beta(u)d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)$$

Comparing equation (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\beta(u)(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t)) = \beta(u)d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $d$  be a two sided  $\beta$ -derivation on  $M$  and  $M$  be a zero symmetric near ring. If  $s \in Z(M)$ , then  $d(s) \in Z(M)$ , where  $\beta: M \rightarrow M$  is a mapping.

*Proof.* For any  $s \in M$ , consider  $td(s) + sd(t) = td(s) + d(t)s = d(ts) = d(st) = d(s)t + sd(t)$

From this we get  $td(s) = d(s)t$

this implies

$$d(s) \in Z(M)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $M$  be a zero symmetric prime near ring. If there is a nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation  $d$  in such a way that  $d(M) \subset Z(M)$ , where  $\beta: M \rightarrow M$  is a mapping, then  $M$  is a commutative ring.

*Proof.* Since  $d(M) \subset Z(M)$  and  $d \neq 0$ . Consider an element  $s \in M$  implies that  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(M)$  as  $0 \neq d(s) \in Z(M)$  this means that  $s$  is not a zero divisor in  $M$ . As we know that if  $s$  is not a zero divisor then  $M$  is a commutative ring.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $M$  be a near ring then for all  $s, t, k \in M$  then the following holds:

$$(i) \quad [\beta(s), \beta(ts^k)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(s^k)$$

$$(ii) \quad [\beta(st^k), \beta(t)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(t^k)$$

$$(iii) \quad (\beta(s) \circ \beta(ts^k)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(s^k)$$

$$(iv) \quad (\beta(st^k) \circ \beta(t)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(t^k)$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $M$  be a prime near ring. We have to prove that

$$[\beta(s), \beta(ts^k)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(s^k) \quad \forall s, t, k \in M$$

Taking L.H.S

$$[\beta(s), \beta(ts^k)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(s^k).$$

This gives

$$[\beta(s), \beta(ts^k)] = \beta(t)[\beta(s), \beta(s^k)] + [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(s^k)$$

from this, we obtain

$$[\beta(s), \beta(ts^k)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(s^k) \quad \forall s, t, k \in M$$

(ii) We have to show that

$$[\beta(st^k), \beta(t)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(t^k) \quad \forall s, t, k \in M$$

Taking L.H.S

$$[\beta(st^k), \beta(t)] = [\beta(s)\beta(t^k), \beta(t)]$$

this implies

$$[\beta(st^k), \beta(t)] = \beta(s)[\beta(t^k), \beta(t)] + [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(t^k)$$

from this we get

$$[\beta(st^k), \beta(t)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(t^k) \quad \forall s, t, k \in M$$

(iii) We have to show that

$$(\beta(s) \circ \beta(ts^k)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(s^k) \quad \forall s, t, k \in M$$

Taking L.H.S

$$(\beta(s) \circ \beta(ts^k)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(s^k)$$

This gives

$$(\beta(s) \circ \beta(ts^k)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(s^k) - \beta(t)[\beta(s), \beta(s^k)]$$

from this we get

$$(\beta(s) \circ \beta(ts^k)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(s^k)$$

(iv) We have to show that

$$(\beta(st^k) \circ \beta(t)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(t^k) \quad \forall s, t, k \in M$$

Taking L.H.S

$$(\beta(st^k) \circ \beta(t)) = (\beta(s)\beta(t^k) \circ \beta(t))$$

this implies

$$(\beta(st^k) \circ \beta(t)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(t^k) + \beta(s)[\beta(t^k), \beta(t)]$$

from this we get

$$(\beta(st^k) \circ \beta(t)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(t^k) \quad \forall s, t, k \in M$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $M$  be a zero symmetric prime near ring. If there exist  $p \geq 0, q \geq 0$  and a nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation  $d$  on  $M$ , where  $\beta: M \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism, satisfying one of the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad [\beta(s), d(t)] = s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))s^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

$$(ii) \quad [\beta(s), d(t)] = -s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))s^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

$$(iii) \quad [d(s), \beta(t)] = t^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))t^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

$$(iv) \quad [d(s), \beta(t)] = -t^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))t^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

Then  $M$  is a commutative ring.

*Proof.* (i) Since

$$(2.5) \quad [\beta(s), d(t)] = s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))s^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

We will prove that  $M$  is a commutative ring. For this we will show that  $d(M) \subset Z(M)$ . Since  $\beta(s) \circ (\beta(t)\beta(s)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(s)$  by Lemma 2.4. Replacing  $t$  by  $ts$  in equation (2.5), we get

$$[\beta(s), d(ts)] = s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(ts))s^q$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$[\beta(s), d(ts)] = s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t) \beta(s))s^q$$

we get

$$[\beta(s), d(ts)] = s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))s^q \beta(s)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.6) \quad [\beta(s), d(ts)] = [\beta(s), d(t)]\beta(s)$$

By using the lie product, we obtain

$$\beta(s)d(ts) - d(ts)\beta(s) = (\beta(s)d(t) - d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s)$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)d(ts) - d(ts)\beta(s) = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) - d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)(d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(t)d(s)) - (d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(t)d(s))\beta(s) = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) - d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

By using Lemma 2.1 and  $-(\beta(s) + \beta(t)) = -\beta(t) - \beta(s)$ , we get

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) - d(t)(\beta(s))^2 = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) - d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) = 0$$

we get

$$(2.7) \quad \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Left multiplication by  $\beta(u)$  from last equation, we get

$$(2.8) \quad \beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $ut$  in equation (2.7), we have

$$\beta(s)\beta(ut)d(s) = \beta(ut)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$(2.9) \quad \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

By comparing equation (2.8) and equation (2.9), we get

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

this implies

$$(\beta(u)\beta(s) - \beta(s)\beta(u))\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

from this we get

$$[\beta(u), \beta(s)]\beta(t)d(s) = 0 \quad \forall t, \beta(t) \in M$$

From the last relation, we have

$$(2.10) \quad [\beta(u), \beta(s)]Md(s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $s \in M$ , we get  $d(s) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u), \beta(s)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u), \beta(s)] = 0$  or  $s \in Z(M)$ , by using Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(s) \in Z(M)$  this alongwith Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring.

(ii) Since

$$(2.11) \quad [\beta(s), d(t)] = -s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))s^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

Also  $\beta(s) \circ (\beta(t)\beta(s)) = (\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))\beta(s)$  by Lemma 2.4. Replacing  $t$  by  $ts$  in

equation (2.11), we get

$[\beta(s), d(ts)] = -s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(ts))s^q$  Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$[\beta(s), d(ts)] = -s^p(\beta(s) \circ (\beta(t)\beta(s)))s^q$$

we get

$$[\beta(s), d(ts)] = -s^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))s^q \beta(s)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.12) \quad [\beta(s), d(ts)] = [\beta(s), d(t)]\beta(s)$$

By using the lie product, we obtain

$$\beta(s)d(ts) - d(ts)\beta(s) = (\beta(s)d(t) - d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s)$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)d(ts) - d(ts)\beta(s) = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) - d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)(d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(t)d(s)) - (d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(t)d(s))\beta(s) = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) - d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

By using Lemma 2.1 and  $-(\beta(s) + \beta(t)) = -\beta(t) - \beta(s)$ , we get

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) - d(t)(\beta(s))^2 = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) - d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) = 0$$

we get

$$(2.13) \quad \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Left multiplication by  $\beta(u)$  from last equation, we get

$$(2.14) \quad \beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $ut$  in equation (2.13), we have

$$\beta(s)\beta(ut)d(s) = \beta(ut)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$(2.15) \quad \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

By comparing equation (2.14) and equation (2.15), we get

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

this implies

$$(\beta(u)\beta(s) - \beta(s)\beta(u))\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

from this we get

$$[\beta(u), \beta(s)]\beta(t)d(s) = 0 \quad \forall t, \beta(t) \in M$$

From the last relation, we have

$$(2.16) \quad [\beta(u), \beta(s)]Md(s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $s \in M$  we get  $d(s) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u), \beta(s)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u), \beta(s)] = 0$  or  $s \in Z(M)$ , by using Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(s) \in Z(M)$  this alongwith Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring.

(iii) Since

$$(2.17) \quad [d(s), \beta(t)] = t^p(\beta(s) \circ \beta(t))t^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

Also  $(\beta(s)\beta(t)) = (\beta(s)\circ\beta(t))\beta(t)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $s$  by  $st$  in equation

we get

$$[d(st),\beta(t)] = t^v(\beta(st)\circ\beta(t))t^u$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$[d(st), \beta(t)] = t^v(\beta(s)\beta(t)\circ\beta(t))t^u$$

we get

$$[d(st), \beta(t)] = t^v(\beta(s)\circ\beta(t))t^u\beta(t)$$

this implies

$$(2.18) \quad [d(st), \beta(t)] = [d(s), \beta(t)] \beta(t)$$

By using the lie product, we obtain

$$d(st)\beta(t) - \beta(t)d(st) = (d(s)\beta(t) - \beta(t)d(s)) \beta(t)$$

this implies

$$d(st)\beta(t) - \beta(t)d(st) = d(s)\beta^2(t) - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t))\beta(t) - \beta(t)(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t)) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

By using Lemma 2.1 and  $-(\beta(s) + \beta(t)) = -\beta(t) - \beta(s)$ , we get

$$d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

we get

$$(2.19) \quad \beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) = \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t)$$

Left multiplication by  $\beta(u)$  from last equation, we get

$$(2.20) \quad \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) = \beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t)$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $us$  in equation (2.19), we have

$$\beta(us)d(t)\beta(t) = \beta(t)\beta(us)d(t)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$(2.21) \quad \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) = \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)$$

By comparing equation (2.20) and equation (2.21), we get

$$\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)$$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) - \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

this gives

$$(\beta(u)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(u))\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

from this we get

$$[(\beta(u),\beta(t))\beta(s)]d(t) = 0 \quad \forall s,\beta(s) \in M$$

From the last relation, we have

$$(2.22) \quad [(\beta(u),\beta(t))]Md(t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $t \in M$  we get  $d(t) = 0$  or  $[(\beta(u),\beta(t))] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[(\beta(u),\beta(t))] = 0$  or  $t \in Z(M)$ , by using Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(t) \in Z(M)$  this alongwith Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring.

(iv) Since

$$(2.23) \quad [d(s),\beta(t)] = -t^v(\beta(s)\circ\beta(t))t^u \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

Since  $(\beta(s)\beta(t)) = (\beta(s)\circ\beta(t))\beta(t)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $s$  by  $st$  in equation (2.23), we get

$$[d(st),\beta(t)] = -t^v(\beta(st)\circ\beta(t))t^u$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$[d(st),\beta(t)] = -t^v(\beta(s)\beta(t)\circ\beta(t))t^u$$

we get

$$[d(st),\beta(t)] = -t^v(\beta(s)\circ\beta(t))t^u\beta(t)$$

this implies

$$(2.24) \quad [d(st),\beta(t)] = [d(s),\beta(t)]\beta(t)$$

By using the lie product, we obtain

$$d(st)\beta(t) - \beta(t)d(st) = (d(s)\beta(t) - \beta(t)d(s))\beta(t)$$

this implies

$$d(st)\beta(t) - \beta(t)d(st) = d(s)\beta^2(t) - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t))\beta(t) - \beta(t)(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t)) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

By using Lemma 2.1 and  $-(\beta(s) + \beta(t)) = -\beta(t) - \beta(s)$ , we get

$$d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 - \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

we get

$$(2.25) \quad \beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) = \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t)$$

Left multiplication by  $\beta(u)$  from last equation, we get

$$(2.26) \quad \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) = \beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t)$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $us$  in equation (2.25) we have

$$\beta(us)d(t)\beta(t) = \beta(t)\beta(us)d(t)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$(2.27) \quad \beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) = \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)$$

By comparing equation (2.26) and equation (2.27), we get

$$\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)$$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) - \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

this gives

$$(\beta(u)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(u))\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

from this we get

$$[(\beta(u),\beta(t))\beta(s)]d(t) = 0 \quad \forall s \in M, \beta(s) \in M$$

From the last relation, we have

$$(2.28) \quad [(\beta(u),\beta(t))]Md(t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $t \in M$  we get  $d(t) = 0$  or  $[(\beta(u),\beta(t))] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this

$[(\beta(u),\beta(t))] = 0$  or  $t \in Z(M)$ , by using Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(t) \in Z(M)$  this

along with Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring.

Theorem 2.6. Let  $M$  be a zero symmetric prime near ring. If there exist  $p \geq 0, q \geq 0$  and a nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation  $d$  on  $M$ , where  $\beta : M \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism, satisfying one of the following conditions:

(i)  $\beta(s)od(t) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q \forall s, t \in M$

(ii)  $\beta(s)od(t) = -s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q \forall s, t \in M$

(iii)  $d(s)o\beta(t) = t^q[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^p \forall s, t \in M$

(iv)  $d(s)o\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^q \forall s, t \in M$

Then  $M$  is a commutative ring.

Proof. (i) Since

$$(2.29) \quad \beta(s)od(t) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q$$

Also  $[\beta(s),\beta(t)\beta(s)] = [\beta(s),\beta(t)]\beta(s)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $t$  by  $ts$  in equation (2.29), we have  $\beta(s)od(ts) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(ts)]s^q$

since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(s)od(ts) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)\beta(s)]s^q$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)od(ts) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q\beta(s)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.30) \quad \beta(s)od(ts) = (\beta(s)od(t))\beta(s)$$

By using the Jordan product, we get

$$\beta(s)d(ts) + d(ts)\beta(s) = (\beta(s)d(t) + d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)(d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(t)d(s)) + (\beta(t)d(s) + d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s) = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

By using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2 = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

this implies  $\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) = 0$

we obtain

$$(2.31) \quad \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = -\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $ut$  in equation (2.31) and using  $-\beta(s)\beta(t) = (-\beta(s))\beta(t) = (\beta(-s))\beta(t)$ , we get

$$\beta(s)\beta(ut)d(s) = -\beta(ut)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, so we have

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = -\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

From equation (2.31), we get

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)(-\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s))$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)\beta(-s)\beta(t)d(s)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.32) \quad \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(-u)\beta(-s)\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $-s$  in equation (2.32), we obtain

$$\beta(-s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) - \beta(-u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this implies

$$-\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) + \beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this gives

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s)$$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) - \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

from this we get

$$(\beta(u)\beta(s) - \beta(s)\beta(u))\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this gives

$$[\beta(u),\beta(s)]\beta(t)d(-s) = 0 \quad \forall t, \beta(t) \in M$$

From the last relation, we have

$$[\beta(u),\beta(s)]Md(-s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $s \in M$  we get  $d(-s) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u),\beta(s)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u),\beta(s)] = 0$  or  $s \in Z(M)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(-s) \in Z(M)$  this along with Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring.

(ii) Since

$$(2.33) \quad \beta(s)od(t) = -s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q$$

Also  $[\beta(s),\beta(t)\beta(s)] = [\beta(s),\beta(t)]\beta(s)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $t$  by  $ts$  in equation

$$(2.33), \text{ we get } \beta(s)od(ts) = -s^p[\beta(s),\beta(ts)]s^q$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(s)od(ts) = -s^p[\beta(s),(\beta(t)\beta(s))]s^q$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)od(ts) = -s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q\beta(s)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.34) \quad \beta(s)od(ts) = (\beta(s)od(t))\beta(s)$$

By using the Jordan product, we get

$$\beta(s)d(ts) + d(ts)\beta(s) = (\beta(s)d(t) + d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)(d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(t)d(s)) + (\beta(t)d(s) + d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s) = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

By using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2 = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) = 0$$

we obtain

$$(2.35) \quad \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = -\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $ut$  in equation (2.35) and using  $-\beta(s)\beta(t) = (-\beta(s))\beta(t) = (\beta(-s))\beta(t)$ , we get

$$\beta(s)\beta(ut)d(s) = -\beta(ut)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = -\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

From equation (2.35), we get

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)(-\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s))$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)\beta(-s)\beta(t)d(s)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.36) \quad \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(-u)\beta(-s)\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $-s$  in equation (2.36), we obtain

$$\beta(-s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) - \beta(-u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this implies

$$-\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) + \beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this gives

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s)$$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) - \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

from this we get

$$(\beta(u)\beta(s) - \beta(s)\beta(u))\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this gives

$$[\beta(u), \beta(s)]\beta(t)d(-s) = 0 \quad \forall t \in M, \beta(t) \in M$$

$$[\beta(u), \beta(s)]Md(-s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $s \in M$  we get  $d(-s) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u), \beta(s)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u), \beta(s)] = 0$  or  $s \in Z(M)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(-s) \in Z(M)$  this along with Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring.

(iii) Since

$$(2.37) \quad d(s)\circ\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(s), \beta(t)]t^q$$

Also  $[\beta(s)\beta(t), \beta(t)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(t)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $s$  by  $st$  in equation

(2.37), we have

$$d(st)\circ\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(st), \beta(t)]t^q$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$d(st)\circ\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(s)\beta(t), \beta(t)]t^q$$

this implies

$$d(st)\circ\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(s), \beta(t)]t^q\beta(t)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.38) \quad d(st)\circ\beta(t) = (d(s)\circ\beta(t))\beta(t)$$

By using the Jordan product, we get

$$d(st)\beta(t) + \beta(t)d(st) = (d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(t)d(s))\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t))\beta(t) + \beta(t)(\beta(s)d(t) + d(s)\beta(t)) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

By using lemma 2.1, we get

$$d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) + \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) + \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

we obtain

$$(2.39) \quad \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = -\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $us$  in equation (2.39) and using  $-\beta(s)\beta(t) = (-\beta(s))\beta(t) = (\beta(-s))\beta(t)$ , we have

$$\beta(t)\beta(us)d(t) = -\beta(us)d(t)\beta(t)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = -\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = (\beta(-u))\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

From equation (2.39), we get

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = (\beta(-u))(-\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t))$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = \beta(-u)\beta(-t)\beta(s)d(t)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.40) \quad \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) - \beta(-u)\beta(-t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $-t$  in equation (2.40), we obtain

$$\beta(-t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) - \beta(-u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

this implies

$$-\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) + \beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

we obtain  $\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t)$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) - \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

this gives

$$(\beta(u)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(u))\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

from this we get

$$[\beta(u), \beta(t)]\beta(s)d(-t) = 0 \quad \forall s, \beta(s) \in M$$

From the last relation, we have

$$[\beta(u), \beta(t)]Md(-t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $t \in M$  we get  $d(-t) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u), \beta(t)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u), \beta(t)] = 0$  or  $t \in Z(M)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(-t) \in Z(M)$  this along with

Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring.

(iv) Since

$$(2.41) \quad d(s)\circ\beta(t) = -t^p[\beta(s), \beta(t)]t^q$$

Also  $[\beta(s)\beta(t), \beta(t)] = [\beta(s), \beta(t)]\beta(t)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $s$  by  $st$  in equation

$$(2.41), \text{ we have } d(st)\circ\beta(t) = -t^p[\beta(st), \beta(t)]t^q$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$d(st)\circ\beta(t) = -t^p[\beta(s)\beta(t),\beta(t)]t^q$$

this implies

$$d(st)\circ\beta(t) = -t^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^q\beta(t)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.42) \quad d(st)\circ\beta(t) = (d(s)\circ\beta(t))\beta(t)$$

By using the Jordan product, we get

$$d(st)\beta(t) + \beta(t)d(st) = (d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(t)d(s))\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$(d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t))\beta(t) + \beta(t)(\beta(s)d(t) + d(s)\beta(t)) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

by using lemma 2.1 we get

$$d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) + \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$

this implies

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) + \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

we obtain

$$(2.43) \quad \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = -\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $us$  in equation (2.43) and using  $-\beta(s)\beta(t) = (-\beta(s))\beta(t) = (\beta(-s))\beta(t)$ , we have

$$\beta(t)\beta(us)d(t) = -\beta(us)d(t)\beta(t)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = -\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = (\beta(-u))\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

we get  $\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = (\beta(-u))(-\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t))$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = \beta(-u)\beta(-t)\beta(s)d(t)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.44) \quad \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) - \beta(-u)\beta(-t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $-t$  in equation (2.63), we obtain

$$\beta(-t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) - \beta(-u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

this implies

$$-\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) + \beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

we obtain  $\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t)$

this gives

$$\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) - \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

from this we get

$$(\beta(u)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(u))\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

we obtain

$$[\beta(u),\beta(t)]\beta(s)d(-t) = 0 \quad \forall s,\beta(s) \in M$$

From the last relation, we get

$$[\beta(u),\beta(t)]Md(-t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $t \in M$  we get  $d(-t) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u),\beta(t)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u),\beta(t)] = 0$  or  $t \in Z(M)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(-t) \in Z(M)$  this along with Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $M$  be a prime zero symmetric near-ring. If  $(M,+)$  is 2 torsion free, then there is no non negative integers  $p, q \geq 0$  and a nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation  $d$ , where  $\beta : M \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism such that*

$$(i) \quad \beta(s)od(t) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

$$(ii) \quad \beta(s)od(t) = -s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

$$(iii) \quad d(s)\circ\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

$$(iv) \quad d(s)\circ\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^q \quad \forall s, t \in M$$

*Proof.* (i) Since

$$(2.45) \quad \beta(s)od(t) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q$$

Also  $[\beta(s),\beta(t)\beta(s)] = [\beta(s),\beta(t)]\beta(s)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $t$  by  $ts$  in equation

$$(2.45), \text{ we have } \beta(s)od(ts) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(ts)]s^q$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(s)od(ts) = s^p[\beta(s),(\beta(t)\beta(s))]s^q$$

this implies  $\beta(s)od(ts) = s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q\beta(s)$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.46) \quad \beta(s)od(ts) = (\beta(s)od(t))\beta(s)$$

By using the Jordan product, we get

$$\beta(s)d(ts) + d(ts)\beta(s) = (\beta(s)d(t) + d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)(d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(t)d(s)) + (\beta(t)d(s) + d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s) = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

By using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2 = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

this implies  $\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) = 0$

we obtain

$$(2.47) \quad \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = -\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $ut$  in equation (2.47) and using  $-\beta(s)\beta(t) = (-\beta(s))\beta(t) = (\beta(-s))\beta(t)$ , we get

$$\beta(s)\beta(ut)d(s) = -\beta(ut)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = -\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

From equation (2.47), we get

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)(-\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s))$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)\beta(-s)\beta(t)d(s)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.48) \quad \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(-u)\beta(-s)\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $-s$  in equation (2.48), we obtain

$$\beta(-s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) - \beta(-u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this implies

$$-\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) + \beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this gives

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s)$$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) - \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

from this we get

$$(\beta(u)\beta(s) - \beta(s)\beta(u))\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this gives

$[\beta(u),\beta(s)]\beta(t)d(-s) = 0 \forall t, \beta(t) \in M$  From the las relation, we have

$$[\beta(u),\beta(s)]Md(-s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $s \in M$  we get  $d(-s) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u),\beta(s)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u),\beta(s)] = 0$  or  $s \in Z(M)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(-s) \in Z(M)$  this along with Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring. From equation (2.47), we have

$$(2.49) \quad 2\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is 2 torsion free, this implies

$$\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = 0 \quad \forall t, \beta(t) \in M$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)Md(s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $s \in M$  we have  $d(s) = 0$  or  $\beta(s) = 0$ . But  $d$  is non zero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation hence we get  $\beta(s) = 0$  for every  $s \in M$ . A

Contradiction, thus there is no such derivation.

(ii) Since

$$(2.50) \quad \beta(s)od(t) = -s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q$$

Also  $[\beta(s),\beta(t)\beta(s)] = [\beta(s),\beta(t)]\beta(s)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $t$  by  $ts$  in equation (2.50), we get  $\beta(s)od(ts) = -s^p[\beta(s),\beta(ts)]s^q$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(s)od(ts) = -s^p[\beta(s),(\beta(t)\beta(s))]s^q$$

this implies  $\beta(s)od(ts) = -s^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]s^q\beta(s)$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.51) \quad \beta(s)od(ts) = (\beta(s)od(t))\beta(s)$$

By using the Jordan product, we get

$$\beta(s)d(ts) + d(ts)\beta(s) = (\beta(s)d(t) + d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)(d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(t)d(s)) + (\beta(t)d(s) + d(t)\beta(s))\beta(s) = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

By using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2 = \beta(s)d(t)\beta(s) + d(t)(\beta(s))^2$$

this implies  $\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(s) = 0$

we obtain

$$(2.52) \quad \beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = -\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $ut$  in equation (2.52) and using  $-\beta(s)\beta(t) = (-\beta(s))\beta(t) = (\beta(-s))\beta(t)$ , we get

$$\beta(s)\beta(ut)d(s) = -\beta(ut)d(s)\beta(s)$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, so we have

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = -\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)\beta(t)d(s)\beta(s)$$

From equation (2.52), we get

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)(-\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s))$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) = \beta(-u)\beta(-s)\beta(t)d(s)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.53) \quad \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(s) - \beta(-u)\beta(-s)\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $-s$  in equation (2.53), we obtain

$$\beta(-s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) - \beta(-u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this implies

$$-\beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) + \beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this gives

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) = \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s)$$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(s)\beta(t)d(-s) - \beta(s)\beta(u)\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

from this we get

$$(\beta(u)\beta(s) - \beta(s)\beta(u))\beta(t)d(-s) = 0$$

this gives

$[\beta(u),\beta(s)]\beta(t)d(-s) = 0 \forall t, \beta(t) \in M$  From the last relation, we have

$$[\beta(u),\beta(s)]Md(-s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $s \in M$  we get  $d(-s) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u),\beta(s)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u),\beta(s)] = 0$  or  $s \in Z(M)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(-s) \in Z(M)$  this along with Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring. From equation (2.52), we have

$$(2.54) \quad 2\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is 2 torsion free, this implies

$$\beta(s)\beta(t)d(s) = 0 \quad \forall t, \beta(t) \in M$$

this gives

$$\beta(s)Md(s) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $s \in M$  we have  $d(s) = 0$  or  $\beta(s) = 0$ . But  $d$  is non zero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation hence we get  $\beta(s) = 0$  for every  $s \in M$ . A

Contradiction, thus there is no such derivation.

(iii) Since

$$(2.55) \quad d(s)o\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^q$$

Also  $[\beta(s)\beta(t),\beta(t)] = [\beta(s),\beta(t)]\beta(t)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $s$  by  $st$  in equation

(2.55), we have

$$d(st)o\beta(t) = t^p[\beta(st),\beta(t)]t^q$$



Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$d(st)o\beta(t) = {}^t\varphi[\beta(s)\beta(t),\beta(t)]t^q$$

this implies

$$d(st)o\beta(t) = {}^t\varphi[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^q\beta(t)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.56) \quad d(st)o\beta(t) = (d(s)o\beta(t))\beta(t)$$

By using the Jordan product, we get

$$d(st)\beta(t) + \beta(t)d(st) = (d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(t)d(s))\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t))\beta(t) + \beta(t)(\beta(s)d(t) + d(s)\beta(t) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$
 By using lemma 2.1, we get

$$d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) + \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$
 this implies  $\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) + \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$

we obtain

$$(2.57) \quad \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = -\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $us$  in equation (2.57) and using  $-\beta(s)\beta(t) = (-\beta(s))\beta(t) = (\beta(-s))\beta(t)$ , we have  $\beta(t)\beta(us)d(t) = -\beta(us)d(t)\beta(t)$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = -\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = (\beta(-u))\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

From equation (2.57), we get

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = (\beta(-u))(-\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t))$$

Since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = \beta(-u)\beta(-t)\beta(s)d(t)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.58) \quad \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) - \beta(-u)\beta(-t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $-t$  in equation (2.58), we obtain

$$\beta(-t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) - \beta(-u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

this implies

$$-\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) + \beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

we obtain  $\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t)$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) - \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

this gives

$$(\beta(u)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(u))\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

from this we get

$[\beta(u),\beta(t)]\beta(s)d(-t) = 0 \forall s,\beta(s) \in M$  From the last relation, we have

$$[\beta(u),\beta(t)]Md(-t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $t \in M$  we get  $d(-t) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u),\beta(t)] = 0$ . But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u),\beta(t)] = 0$  or  $t \in Z(M)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(-t) \in Z(M)$  this along with

Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring. From equation (2.57), we have

$$(2.59) \quad 2\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is 2 torsion free, this implies

$$\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0 \quad \forall s,\beta(s) \in M$$

this gives

$$\beta(t)Md(t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $t \in M$  we have  $d(t) = 0$  or  $\beta(s) = 0$ . But  $d$  is non zero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation hence we get  $\beta(t) = 0$  for every  $t \in M$ . A Contradiction, thus there is no such derivation. (iv) Since

$$(2.60) \quad d(s)o\beta(t) = -{}^t\varphi[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^q$$

Also  $[\beta(s)\beta(t),\beta(t)] = [\beta(s),\beta(t)]\beta(t)$  by Lemma 2.4, replacing  $s$  by  $st$  in equation (2.60), we have  $d(st)o\beta(t) = -{}^t\varphi[\beta(st),\beta(t)]t^q$

Since  $\beta$  is B-homomorphism, therefore

$$d(st)o\beta(t) = -{}^t\varphi[\beta(s)\beta(t),\beta(t)]t^q$$

this implies

$$d(st)o\beta(t) = -{}^t\varphi[\beta(s),\beta(t)]t^q\beta(t)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.61) \quad d(st)o\beta(t) = (d(s)o\beta(t))\beta(t)$$

By using the Jordan product, we get

$$d(st)\beta(t) + \beta(t)d(st) = (d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(t)d(s))\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$d(s)\beta(t) + \beta(s)d(t))\beta(t) + \beta(t)(\beta(s)d(t) + d(s)\beta(t) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$
 By using lemma 2.1, we get

$$d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) + \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t) = d(s)(\beta(t))^2 + \beta(t)d(s)\beta(t)$$
 this implies  $\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t) + \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$

we obtain

$$(2.62) \quad \beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = -\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

Replacing  $s$  by  $us$  in equation (2.62) and using  $-\beta(s)\beta(t) = (-\beta(s))\beta(t) = (\beta(-s))\beta(t)$ , we have

$$\beta(t)\beta(us)d(t) = -\beta(us)d(t)\beta(t)$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, so we have

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = -\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

this gives

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = (\beta(-u))\beta(s)d(t)\beta(t)$$

From equation (62), we get

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = (\beta(-u))(-\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t))$$

Since  $\beta$  is a homomorphism, therefore

$$\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) = \beta(-u)\beta(-t)\beta(s)d(t)$$

from this we arrive at

$$(2.63) \quad \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(t) - \beta(-u)\beta(-t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $-t$  in equation (2.63), we obtain

$$\beta(-t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) - \beta(-u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

this implies

$$-\beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) + \beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

$$\text{we obtain } \beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) = \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t)$$

this implies

$$\beta(u)\beta(t)\beta(s)d(-t) - \beta(t)\beta(u)\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

this gives

$$(\beta(u)\beta(t) - \beta(t)\beta(u))\beta(s)d(-t) = 0$$

from this we get

$$[\beta(u), \beta(t)]\beta(s)d(-t) = 0 \quad \forall s, \beta(s) \in M$$

From the last relation, we have

$$[\beta(u), \beta(t)]Md(-t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $t \in M$  we get  $d(-t) = 0$  or  $[\beta(u), \beta(t)] = 0$ .  
But we know that  $d$  is the nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation this gives

$[\beta(u), \beta(t)] = 0$  or  $t \in Z(M)$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $d(-t) \in Z(M)$  this along with

Lemma 2.3, we get

$$d(M) \subset Z(M)$$

Hence  $M$  is a commutative ring. From equation (2.62), we have

$$(2.64) \quad 2\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is 2 torsion free, this implies

$$\beta(t)\beta(s)d(t) = 0 \quad \forall s, \beta(s) \in M$$

this gives

$$\beta(t)Md(t) = 0$$

Since  $M$  is prime then for each  $t \in M$  we have  $d(t) = 0$  or  $\beta(t) = 0$ . But  $d$  is nonzero two sided  $\beta$ -derivation hence we get  $\beta(t) = 0$  for every  $t \in M$ . It is a contradiction, thus there is no such derivation.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed AM Kamal and Khalid HAS, 2014, Commutativity of near-rings with derivations, Algebra Colloquium, vol. 21, World Scientific, pp. 215-230.
- Ahmed K and Khalid AS, 2013, Existence of derivations on near-rings, Mathematica Slovaca 63, no. 3, 431-448.
- Anonymous, 1992, On derivations in near-rings and rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ 34, no. 1, 135-144.
- Basudeb D and Shakir A, 2013, On multiplicative (generalized)-derivations in prime and semiprime rings, Aequationes mathematicae 86, no. 1-2, 65-79.
- Howard EB and Gordon M, 1987, On derivations in near-rings, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 137, Elsevier, pp. 31-35.
- Howard EB, 1997, On derivations in near-rings, ii, Nearings, Nearfields and K-loops, Springer, pp. 191-197.
- Mohamad ND and Howard EB, 1992, Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 15.
- Xue KW, 1994, Derivations in prime near-rings, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 121, no. 2, 361-366.
- Yilun S, 2011, A study of derivations in prime near-rings, Mathematica Balkanica (New Series) 25, no. 4, 413-418.

